Rubric for Direct Assessments

SLO#1: Communicate clearly their passion for a professional career.						
Criteria	Beginning (acceptable)	Milestone 1 (proficient)	Milestone 2 (proficient)	Advanced (exemplary)		
Clarity – Communicating	Can communicate with	Takes initiative to	Communicates with	Understood to the extent		
intentions and desired	clarity when prompted.	communicate with clarity.	confidence in and	that the audience can		
outcomes.			unambiguous and concise	respond with equal		
			manner.	clarity.		
Evidence of Passion –	Communicates	Able to explain their	Communicates how their	Demonstrates their		
Communicating in terms	enthusiasm, focus,	motivation when	work connects to them in	passion in an infectious		
of personal feelings.	dedication, and	presented with obstacles	a personal way.	way.		
	temperament for their					
	discipline.					
Distinctive – Articulating	Articulates their desire for	Able to articulate their	Articulates their unique	Contextualize their		
one's truth.	conservatory training	desire for purposeful	approach to their work.	unique approach within a		
		work.		greater body of work		
Delivery – Communicating	Demonstrates sufficient	Experiments with	Synthesizes a variety of	Able to adapt their modes		
with your audience.	command of language to	different modes of	modes to communicate	of communication to fit		
	engage their audience.	communication.	their message.	varying audience.		

Comments:

Rubric for Direct Assessments

SLO#2: Effectively critique their own work.						
Criteria	Beginning (acceptable)	Milestone 1 (proficient)	Milestone 2 (proficient)	Advanced (exemplary)		
Objectivity – Conveying the one's intent.	"I followed the instructions/turned in the assignment"	Generic statement of intent	Detailed statement of intent	Self-critique is aligned with peers and faculty critiques.		
				Sophisticated expression of intent		
Engagement - Demonstrates an awareness of criteria.	"I followed the instructions/turned in the assignment"	Generic statement of criteria.	Detailed statement of criteria.	Students take risks and discover value.		
				Sophisticated expression of criteria		
Synthesis - Demonstrates awareness of context and reflection.	Limited awareness and ability to articulate one's choices beyond a statement of good/bad. Lacks supporting details or rationale for choices.	An awareness of looking back at one's own choices	Increasing specificity in looking back at one's own choices	Shows understanding of the value of the process of critique by implementing feedback. Sophisticated expression of purpose of one's own choices.		
	What I am Doing – ability to articulate assignment	How I am Doing it — ability to articulate choices	How I am Doing it — ability to articulate choices	Why I am Doing it – ability to express what I want to achieve by articulating what, how, why		

Rubric for Direct Assessments

SLO#3: Effectively critique the work of others.							
Criteria	Benchmark	Milestone 1	Milestone 2	Capstone			
Expressing a Response – Understand the intention of the "other" before expressing an opinion.	Student is not afraid to voice an opinion.	Student understands the necessity of voicing and opinion and understands the value of others' opinions.	Student is able to articulate an informed expression of the work.	Student is able to articulate a clear expression of the work that invites dialogue.			
Balanced Perspective – Acknowledge success and failures and use those to improve.	Student presents an unbalanced perspective by stating only positive or negative aspects of the work.	Student may still present unbalanced perspective but recognizes the need to provide general or basic statements of support or commentary.	Student presents a more balanced perspective with detailed analysis statements that support their views.	Student presents a balanced perspective by stating the positive and negative aspects of the work with complex analysis and supportive views.			
Collaborative Engagement – Everyone in the group is invested in the success of all, including the artist, as well and those critiquing his/her work.	Student maintains individual opinion without engaging the group. Student does not seek out constructive feedback.	Student maintains individual opinion while beginning to understand the value of constructive feedback.	Student maintains individual opinion while addressing the opinions of others. Student may seek some constructive feedback.	Student is able to address individuals within the team equally in conversation through the process of inquiry, seeking out constructive feedback from peers and faculty.			
Comments:							